

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/439,152	11/12/1999	KURT W. PIERSOL	74451.P106	1294
75	90 01/14/2004		EXAM	INER
MICHAEL J N	MALLIE KOLOFF TAYLOR &	EVANS, ARTHUR G		
	RE BOULEVARD	ZATIVIAN LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90025	RECEIVED	2622 DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	

JAN 2 0 2004

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
LOS ANGELES

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

JAN 2 2 2003

STATUS DB-LA

ENTERED

Date 4/14/2004 Docket Initials Dock. Sup. Initials	t: Ricoh Corp 74451.P10 — — MJM	oration 6		
Atty Initials Pat/Ser/Reg 439152 Description: Response due		1	X	
1/22/2004	Mi	chelle Edm	und	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/439,152	PIERSOL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arthur G. Evans	2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Control of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
	47 Marramahan 0000	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal mat der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.t	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20, 22-26</u> is/are pending in the	application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 22-26</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	4 6	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		hy the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	nents have been received in A	pplication No
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17 <i>2(</i> a))	received in this National Stage
_ * See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. e first sentence of the specific	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application ation or in an Application Data Sheet.
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has be	een received.
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
ttachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) 🔲 Other:	1 le ton
Patent and Trademark Office		ARTHUR G. EVANS SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20, 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamadani et al in view of Hisano. Hamadani et al teaches the invention substantially as claimed. However, Hamadani et al does not teach network as claimed. It would have been obvious to put the device of Hamadani in a network as taught by Hisano for the advantage of communicating over distances in an inexpensive manner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Art Evans whose telephone number is (703) 305-9653.

January 10, 2004

ARTHUR G. EVANS SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER